

Hilbert geometry of polytopes

Andreas Bernig

Abstract. It is shown that the Hilbert metric on the interior of a convex polytope is bilipschitz to a normed vector space of the same dimension.

1. Introduction

Given a compact convex set K in a finite-dimensional vector space V , the Hilbert metric (also called Hilbert geometry) on $\text{int } K$ is defined by

$$d(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} |\log[a_1, a_2, x, y]|, \quad x, y \in \text{int } P.$$

Here a_1, a_2 are the intersections of the line through x and y with the boundary of K (if $x = y$, one sets $d(x, y) := 0$). Hilbert metrics are examples of projective Finsler metrics, i.e. Finsler metrics such that straight lines are geodesics. Hilbert's fourth problem was to classify projective Finsler metrics. This problem was solved by Pogorelov [13], see also [1] for a symplectic approach.

In the last few years, there has been a renewed interest in Hilbert geometries and many research papers were published, see [11, 14, 9, 5, 3, 16, 10, 4] to cite just a few of them.

A natural question is to classify Hilbert metrics up to bilipschitz maps or up to quasi-isometries. In this direction, it was shown by Colbois and Verovic [8] that if ∂K is C^2 with positive Gauss curvature, then the Hilbert metric is bilipschitz to the n -dimensional hyperbolic metric. In a similar spirit, it was shown in [4] that the volume entropy of an n -dimensional convex body with $C^{1,1}$ boundary equals the volume entropy of hyperbolic space, which is $n - 1$. Since the volume entropy is an invariant under bilipschitz maps, the Hilbert metric of a body with $C^{1,1}$ boundary can not be bilipschitz to a normed vector space.

MSC classification: 53C60, 53A20, 51F99

Key words: Hilbert geometry, Hilbert metric, polytopes

Supported by the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds grants SNF PP002-114715/1 and 200020-121506/1.

On the other extreme, Hilbert metrics of polygons are bilipschitz to normed spaces, as was shown by Colbois, Vernicos and Verovic [6]. Elaborating an argument of Foertsch and Karlsson [9], Colbois and Verovic [7] showed that if the Hilbert metric of a compact convex body is quasi-isometric to a normed vector space (in particular, if it is bilipschitz), then the body is a polytope (recall that a polytope is the convex hull of a finite number of points).

This raised the question whether the converse holds in general, i.e. if the Hilbert metric of any polytope is quasi-isometric (or bilipschitz) to a normed vector space. The aim of this note is to answer this question in the positive.

Theorem 1.1. *Let V be an n -dimensional vector space and let $P \subset V$ be a compact convex polytope which is described as*

$$P = \{x \in V : f_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, f_m(x) \geq 0\},$$

where f_1, \dots, f_m are affine functions. Let d be the Finsler metric on $\text{int } P$ and endow the dual space V^ with some norm. Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : (\text{int } P, d) &\rightarrow V^* \\ x &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m \log f_i(x) df_i \end{aligned}$$

is a bilipschitz diffeomorphism.

Note that all norms on V^* are equivalent, hence we may choose in the proof a Euclidean scalar product and identify V^* with V . The map Φ can then be written as

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log f_i(x) \text{grad } f_i,$$

where $\text{grad } f_i \in V$ is the gradient of f_i .

It is an interesting fact (which was communicated to me by J. Lagarias), that a similar map was studied in [12] in connection with trajectories for Karmarkar's linear programming algorithm.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Gautier Berck for pointing out several errors in an earlier version of this manuscript and Bruno Colbois and Patrick Verovic for useful discussions.

Note

The main result of this manuscript, namely that the Hilbert geometry of a polytope is bilipschitz equivalent to a normed space, was also shown independently and with a different proof by Constantin Vernicos [15].

2. Lipschitz continuity of Φ

Let us fix some notation. The Euclidean norm of a vector in V will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_2$, and $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the Finsler norm on $\text{int } P$.

For $x \in \text{int } P$ and $0 \neq w \in T_x \text{int } P$, we have

$$\|w\| = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $t_1, t_2 > 0$ and $x + t_1 w, x - t_2 w \in \partial P$.

We set $R := \max_i \|\text{grad } f_i\|_2$. By D we will denote the (Euclidean) diameter of P . We set

$$P_i := \{x \in V : f_i(x) = 0\},$$

which is an affine hyperplane.

Lemma 2.1. i) For all $x, y \in \text{int } P$ we have

$$d(x, y) \geq \frac{\log 2}{D} \|x - y\|_2.$$

In particular, for $x \in \text{int } P$ and $w \in T_x \text{int } P$

$$\|w\| \geq \frac{\log 2}{D} \|w\|_2.$$

ii) Let $K \subset \text{int } P$ be a compact set. There exists a constant $C_K > 0$ such that for all $x \in K$ and all $w \in T_x \text{int } P$

$$\|w\|_2 \geq C_K \|w\|.$$

Proof. This follows easily from the definition of d and from (1). \square

Lemma 2.2. The map Φ is Lipschitz continuous.

Proof. Let $x \neq y \in \text{int } P$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \partial P$ be the intersection points of the line through x and y with ∂P . Without loss of generality, let us assume that $a_1 \in P_1, a_2 \in P_2$ and that x lies between a_1 and y .

The Hilbert distance between x and y is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\|y - a_1\|_2 \|x - a_2\|_2}{\|x - a_1\|_2 \|y - a_2\|_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{f_1(y)}{f_1(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{f_2(x)}{f_2(y)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \left| \log \frac{f_1(x)}{f_1(y)} \right|, \left| \log \frac{f_2(x)}{f_2(y)} \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since a_1, a_2 are the first intersection points with the boundary of P , for each $i \neq 1, 2$ we have

$$\left| \log \frac{f_i(x)}{f_i(y)} \right| \leq \max \left\{ \left| \log \frac{f_1(x)}{f_1(y)} \right|, \left| \log \frac{f_2(x)}{f_2(y)} \right| \right\}.$$

It follows from these two inequalities that

$$d(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \left| \log \frac{f_i(x)}{f_i(y)} \right| : i = 1, \dots, m \right\}.$$

We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\|_2 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \log f_i(x) \operatorname{grad} f_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \log f_i(y) \operatorname{grad} f_i \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m |\log f_i(x) - \log f_i(y)| \cdot \|\operatorname{grad} f_i\|_2 \\ &\leq 2mRd(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Φ is injective.*

Proof. If $x \neq y$, there exists some j with $f_j(x) \neq f_j(y)$. Noting that \log is a strictly monotone function, we compute

$$\langle \Phi(x) - \Phi(y), x - y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m (\log f_i(x) - \log f_i(y))(f_i(x) - f_i(y)) > 0.$$

The injectivity of Φ follows. □

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \operatorname{int} P$ and all $w \in T_x \operatorname{int} P$*

$$\|d\Phi(w)\|_2 \geq C_1 \|w\|_2.$$

Proof. The quadratic form $w \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m \langle \operatorname{grad} f_i, w \rangle^2$ is positive definite, since the vectors $\operatorname{grad} f_i$ span V . Hence there is some constant c_1 with

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \langle \operatorname{grad} f_i, w \rangle^2 \geq c_1 \|w\|_2^2.$$

Since P is compact, there exists some real number $M > 0$ with $f_i(x) \leq M$ for all i and all $x \in \operatorname{int} P$. Therefore,

$$\|d\Phi(w)\|_2 \cdot \|w\|_2 \geq \langle d\Phi(w), w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\langle \operatorname{grad} f_i, w \rangle^2}{f_i(x)} \geq \frac{c_1}{M} \|w\|_2^2.$$

This proves the lemma, with $C_1 = \frac{c_1}{M}$. □

3. Lipschitz continuity of Φ^{-1}

For a fixed polytope P , we consider two statements (A) and (B).

- (A) There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in \text{int } P$ and all $v \in T_x \text{ int } P$ we have $\|d\Phi(v)\|_2 \geq C\|v\|$.
- (B) The map $\Phi : \text{int } P \rightarrow V$ is onto and bilipschitz.

Proposition 3.1. *If P satisfies (A), then it also satisfies (B).*

Proof. Set $W := \Phi(\text{int } P) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.4 and the open mapping theorem, W is open. Let $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow W$ be a rectifiable curve and let $\tilde{c} := \Phi^{-1} \circ c$ be its preimage under Φ . Then

$$l(c) = \int_0^1 \|c'(s)\|_2 ds = \int_0^1 \|d\Phi(\tilde{c}'(s))\|_2 ds \geq C \int_0^1 \|\tilde{c}'(s)\| ds = Cl(\tilde{c}). \quad (2)$$

If W is not equal to V , there exists a curve $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow W$ of finite length with $c(t) \in W$ for $0 \leq t < 1$ but $c(1) \notin W$. The preimage \tilde{c} of $c|_{[0,1]}$ under Φ is a rectifiable curve of finite length in $(\text{int } P, d)$. Since this space is complete, \tilde{c} can be extended to the whole interval $[0, 1]$. By the Lipschitz property of Φ , it follows that $c(1) = \Phi(\tilde{c}(1)) \in W$, a contradiction. Hence Φ is onto.

Taking for c the segment between two points, (2) implies that Φ^{-1} is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $\frac{1}{C}$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *(A) is satisfied for simple polytopes.*

Proof. We claim that there exists a number $\epsilon > 0$ such that if we set, for $x \in \text{int } P$,

$$I_\epsilon(x) := \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : f_i(x) < \epsilon\},$$

then

$$\bigcap_{i \in I_\epsilon(x)} P_i \neq \emptyset \quad \forall x \in \text{int } P.$$

If no such ϵ exists, we find a zero sequence (ϵ_l) and points $x_l \in \text{int } P$ with

$$\bigcap_{i \in I_{\epsilon_l}(x_l)} P_i = \emptyset.$$

Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the sets $I_{\epsilon_l}(x_l)$ are all equal to some I and that the sequence x_l converges to some point $x \in P$. Since $f_i(x_l) \rightarrow 0$, $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i$, which is a contradiction.

For each $x \in \text{int } P$, the vectors $\{\text{grad } f_i : i \in I_\epsilon(x)\}$ are linearly independent. Indeed, if p is a vertex of the face $\bigcap_{i \in I_\epsilon(x)} P_i$, then the vectors $\{\text{grad } f_j : f_j(p) = 0\}$ span V (see [2]). On the other hand, since P is simple, there are exactly n such vectors and hence they are linearly independent.

Let $C_P > 0$ be such that whenever $\{\text{grad } f_i, i \in I\}$ is some subset of $\{\text{grad } f_1, \dots, \text{grad } f_m\}$ of linearly independent vectors, then for all $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \text{grad } f_i \right\|_2 \geq C_P \max_{i \in I} |\lambda_i|.$$

The existence of such a constant follows from the fact that any two norms on a finite-dimensional vector space are equivalent.

Let $x \in \text{int } P$ and $w \in T_x \text{int } P$ with $\|w\|_2 = 1$. Let t be the real number of minimal absolute value such that $x + tw \in \partial P$. Then $\|w\| \leq \frac{1}{|t|}$.

We fix a number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\delta R \leq \epsilon, \frac{mR^2}{\epsilon} \leq \frac{C_P}{2\delta}.$$

Let us consider two cases. If $|t| \geq \delta$, then Lemma (2.4) implies that

$$\|d\Phi(w)\|_2 \geq C_1 \|w\|_2 = C_1 \geq C_1 \delta \|w\|.$$

If $|t| < \delta$, then $x + tw \in P_j$ for some j and

$$\frac{|\langle \text{grad } f_j, w \rangle|}{f_j(x)} = \frac{1}{|t|} > \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

It follows that $f_j(x) < \delta R \leq \epsilon$, i.e. $j \in I_\epsilon(x)$.

We next compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\Phi(w)\|_2 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\langle \text{grad } f_i, w \rangle}{f_i(x)} \text{grad } f_i \right\|_2 \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{i \in I_\epsilon(x)} \frac{\langle \text{grad } f_i, w \rangle}{f_i(x)} \text{grad } f_i \right\|_2 - \left\| \sum_{i \notin I_\epsilon(x)} \frac{\langle \text{grad } f_i, w \rangle}{f_i(x)} \text{grad } f_i \right\|_2 \\ &\geq C_P \frac{|\langle \text{grad } f_j, w \rangle|}{f_j(x)} - \frac{mR^2}{\epsilon} \\ &\geq \frac{C_P}{2} \frac{|\langle \text{grad } f_j, w \rangle|}{f_j(x)} \\ &\geq \frac{C_P}{2} \|w\|. \end{aligned}$$

Property (A) now follows with $C := \min \{C_1 \delta, \frac{C_P}{2}\}$. \square

Noting that every plane convex polygon is simple, we obtain that Theorem 1.1 holds true in dimension 2. We now proceed by induction on the dimension of P . We first prove property (A) for polyhedral cones.

In the definition of the Hilbert metric, we supposed K to be compact and convex. However, even if K is only closed and convex and does not contain any straight line, then the definition makes sense (in this case, one of a_1 or a_2 may be at infinity).

Proposition 3.3. *Let $P \subset V$ be a polyhedral cone of the form*

$$P = \{x \in V : f_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, f_m(x) \geq 0\}$$

where f_1, \dots, f_m are linear functions on V . Suppose that P does not contain any line, but has non-empty interior. Define a map

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \text{int } P &\rightarrow V \\ x &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m \log f_i(x) \text{grad } f_i.\end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for each $x \in \text{int } P$ and each $w \in T_x \text{int } P$, we have

$$\|d\Phi(w)\|_2 \geq C\|w\|. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let $u := \sum_{i=1}^m \text{grad } f_i$ and $E_0 := u^\perp$. Let $x_0 \in \text{int } P$ and set $E := x_0 + E_0$. Then $P^E := P \cap E$ is a compact polytope of dimension $n - 1$.

By an easy homogeneity argument, it suffices to prove (3) for $x \in \text{int } P^E$. We let f_i^E denote the restriction of f_i to E . With $\pi : V \rightarrow E_0$ being the orthogonal projection, we have $\text{grad } f_i^E = \pi(\text{grad } f_i)$.

Since $P^E := P \cap E$ is of dimension $n - 1$, there is a constant C^E such that for all $x \in \text{int } P^E$ and all $w \in T_x \text{int } P^E$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\langle \text{grad } f_i, w \rangle}{f_i(x)} \text{grad } f_i^E \right\|_2 \geq C^E \|w\|. \quad (4)$$

Fix a positive constant c_1 with

$$(1 - c_1)\|u\|_2 - mRc_1 > 0.$$

Let $x \in \text{int } P^E$ and let $w \in T_x \text{int } P$ be of Euclidean norm 1. We write $w = w_1 + w_2$ with w_1 parallel to E and w_2 parallel to the line $\mathbb{R} \cdot x$, say $w_2 = \rho x$. Note that $\|w_2\| = \frac{1}{2}|\rho|$.

By (4),

$$\|\pi(d\Phi(w_1))\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\langle \text{grad } f_i, w_1 \rangle}{f_i(x)} \text{grad } f_i^E \right\|_2 \geq C^E \|w_1\|.$$

Next we compute that

$$d\Phi(w_2) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\langle \text{grad } f_i, w_2 \rangle}{f_i(x)} \text{grad } f_i = \rho u.$$

In particular

$$\pi(d\Phi(w_2)) = 0; \quad \|d\Phi(w_2)\|_2 = 2\|w_2\| \cdot \|u\|_2.$$

If $\|w_1\| \geq c_1\|w\|$, then we obtain

$$\|d\Phi(w)\|_2 \geq \|\pi(d\Phi(w))\|_2 = \|\pi(d\Phi(w_1))\|_2 \geq C^E \|w_1\| \geq c_1 C^E \|w\|.$$

If $\|w_1\| < c_1\|w\|$, then by triangle inequality $\|w_2\| \geq (1 - c_1)\|w\|$ and, using that Φ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $2mR$, where $R = \max_i \|\text{grad } f_i\|_2$ (see Lemma 2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\Phi(w)\|_2 &\geq \|d\Phi(w_2)\|_2 - \|d\Phi(w_1)\|_2 \\ &\geq 2\|w_2\| \cdot \|u\|_2 - 2mR\|w_1\| \\ &\geq 2(1 - c_1)\|u\|_2 \cdot \|w\| - 2mRc_1\|w\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3) is satisfied with $C := \min\{c_1C^E, 2(1 - c_1)\|u\|_2 - 2mRc_1\}$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Property (A) is satisfied for each polytope P of dimension n .*

Proof. Let us prove by induction on $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$ the following statement:

(A_k) For every k -dimensional face F of P , there exists an open neighborhood U in V and a constant C_F such that for all $x \in \text{int } P \cap U$ and all $v \in T_x \text{int } P$ we have $\|d\Phi(v)\|_2 \geq C_F\|v\|$.

It is clear that (A_{n-1}), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 imply (A). The induction start will be the empty case $k = -1$.

Suppose now $k \geq 0$. Let $F = \cap_{i \in I} P_i$ be a k -face of P . By induction hypothesis, we may assume that there is an open neighborhood U' of the $k - 1$ -skeleton of P and a constant C_2 such that for all $x \in \text{int } P \cap U'$ and all $v \in T_x \text{int } P$ we have $\|d\Phi(v)\|_2 \geq C_2\|v\|$.

On the compact set $F \setminus U'$, the continuous functions $f_j, j \notin I$ are strictly positive and hence strictly larger than some constant $\tau > 0$. Set

$$U'' := \{x \in V : f_j(x) > \tau \quad \forall j \notin I\}.$$

Then U'' is open and $U' \cup U''$ is an open neighborhood of F .

Let F_0 be the k -dimensional linear space parallel to F and set $\bar{V} := V/F_0$. The affine functions $f_i : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induce linear functions $\bar{f}_i : \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define a polyhedral cone

$$\bar{P} := \{\bar{x} \in \bar{V} : \bar{f}_i(\bar{x}) \geq 0, i \in I\}.$$

By Proposition 3.3, applied to \bar{P} , there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \text{int } P \cap U''$ and all $u \in T_x \text{int } P \cap F_0^\perp$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\langle u, \text{grad } f_i \rangle}{f_i(x)} \text{grad } f_i \right\|_2 \geq C_3 \overline{\|u\|}. \quad (5)$$

Here $\overline{\|u\|}$ denotes the Finsler norm with respect to the polyhedral cone P' .

Let $x \in \text{int } P \cap U''$ and $w \in T_x \text{int } P$ with $\|w\|_2 = 1$. We write $w = w_1 + w_2$ with $w_1 \in F_0^\perp$ and $w_2 \in F_0$. Then

$$\|d\Phi(w_2)\|_2 = \left\| \sum_{j \notin I} \frac{\langle w_2, \text{grad } f_j \rangle}{f_j(x)} \text{grad } f_j \right\|_2 \leq \frac{mR^2}{\tau}.$$

Fix a sufficiently large positive constant c_1 with $\frac{R}{c_1\tau - R} < 1$ and

$$C_4 := \frac{C_3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{R}{\tau c_1}\right) - \frac{2mR^2}{\tau c_1} > 0.$$

We consider two cases.

Case 1: $\|w\| \leq c_1$. Then $\|d\Phi(w)\|_2 \geq C_1\|w\|_2 \geq \frac{C_1}{c_1}\|w\|$.

Case 2: $\|w\| \geq c_1$. Let t be of minimal absolute value such that $x + tw_2 \in \partial P$, say $x + tw_2 \in P_j$. Since w_2 is parallel to F , we have $j \notin I$. From

$$\tau < f_j(x) = |f_j(x + tw_2) - f_j(x)| = |t| \cdot |\langle \text{grad } f_j, w_2 \rangle| \leq R|t|$$

we deduce that $\|w_2\| \leq \frac{R}{\tau}$. The triangle inequality now yields

$$\|w_1\| \geq \|w\| - \|w_2\| \geq \|w\| - \frac{R}{\tau c_1} \|w\| \geq \frac{c_1\tau - R}{\tau} \|w\|.$$

Let s be of minimal absolute value with $x + sw_1 \in \partial P$, say $x + sw_1 \in P_i$. Then $|s| \leq \frac{1}{\|w_1\|} \leq \frac{\tau}{c_1\tau - R}$ and therefore $f_i(x) \leq R|s| \leq \frac{R\tau}{c_1\tau - R} < \tau$, hence $i \in I$.

By (1), we have $\|w_1\| \leq \frac{1}{|s|}$. The Finsler norm with respect to the cone \bar{P} satisfies $\|\overline{w_1}\| \geq \frac{1}{2|s|}$. By (5) we get

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\langle w_1, \text{grad } f_i \rangle}{f_i(x)} \text{grad } f_i \right\|_2 \geq \frac{C_3}{2} \|w_1\|.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\Phi(w)\|_2 &\geq \|d\Phi(w_1)\|_2 - \|d\Phi(w_2)\|_2 \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\langle w_1, \text{grad } f_i \rangle}{f_i(x)} \text{grad } f_i \right\|_2 - \left\| \sum_{j \notin I} \frac{\langle w_1, \text{grad } f_j \rangle}{f_j(x)} \text{grad } f_j \right\|_2 \\ &\quad - \frac{mR^2}{\tau} \\ &\geq \frac{C_3}{2} \|w_1\| - \frac{2mR^2}{\tau} \\ &\geq \frac{C_3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{R}{\tau c_1}\right) \|w\| - \frac{2mR^2}{\tau} \\ &= C_4 \|w\| + \frac{2mR^2}{\tau c_1} \|w\| - \frac{2mR^2}{\tau} \\ &\geq C_4 \|w\|. \end{aligned}$$

We infer that A_k holds true with

$$U := U' \cup U''; \quad C_F := \min \left\{ C_2, \frac{C_1}{c_1}, C_4 \right\}.$$

□

Theorem 1.1 clearly follows from Propositions 3.4 and 3.1.

References

- [1] J.C. Álvarez Paiva. Symplectic geometry and Hilbert's fourth problem. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 69(2):353–378, 2005.
- [2] A. Barvinok. *A course in convexity*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. 54. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2002.
- [3] Y. Benoist. A survey on divisible convex sets. Ji, Lizhen (ed.) et al., Geometry, analysis and topology of discrete groups. Selected papers of the conference on geometry, topology and analysis of locally symmetric spaces and discrete groups, Beijing, China, July 17–August 4, 2006. Somerville, MA: International Press; Beijing: Higher Education Press. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics (ALM) 6, 1–18, 2008.
- [4] G. Berck, A. Bernig, and C. Vernicos. Volume entropy of Hilbert geometries. arXiv:0810.1123.
- [5] B. Colbois and C. Vernicos. Les géométries de Hilbert sont à géométrie locale bornée. (Hilbert geometries have bounded local geometry.). *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 57(4):1359–1375, 2007.
- [6] B. Colbois, C. Vernicos, and P. Verovic. Hilbert geometry for convex polygonal domains. arXiv:0804.1620.
- [7] B. Colbois and P. Verovic. Hilbert domains quasi-isometric to normed vector spaces. arXiv:0804.1619.
- [8] B. Colbois and P. Verovic. Hilbert geometry for strictly convex domains. *Geom. Dedicata*, 105:29–42, 2004.
- [9] T. Foertsch and A. Karlsson. Hilbert metrics and Minkowski norms. *J. Geom.*, 83(1-2):22–31, 2005.
- [10] Olivier Guichard. On Hölder regularity of divisible convex subsets. (Sur la régularité Hölder des convexes divisibles.). *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 25(6):1857–1880, 2005.
- [11] A. Karlsson and G.A. Noskov. The Hilbert metric and Gromov hyperbolicity. *Enseign. Math.*, 48(1-2):73–98, 2002.
- [12] J.C. Lagarias. The nonlinear geometry of linear programming. III: Projective Legendre transform coordinates and Hilbert geometry. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 320(1):193–225, 1990.
- [13] A.V. Pogorelov. A complete solution of Hilbert's fourth problem. *Sov. Math., Dokl.*, 14:46–49, 1973.
- [14] E. Socié-Méthou. Behaviour of distance functions in Hilbert-Finsler geometry. *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, 20(1):1–10, 2004.
- [15] C. Vernicos. Lipschitz characterisation of polytopal Hilbert geometries. arXiv:0812.1032.
- [16] C. Vernicos. Introduction aux géométries de Hilbert. In *Actes de Séminaire de Théorie Spectrale et Géométrie. Vol. 23. Année 2004–2005*, volume 23 of *Sémin. Théor. Spectr. Géom.*, pages 145–168. Univ. Grenoble I, Saint, 2005.

Andreas Bernig

e-mail: andreas.bernig@unifr.ch

Département de Mathématiques, Chemin du Musée 23, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland